

### ECON 455, Discussion Section 6

TA: Shane Auerbach (sauerbach@wisc.edu) ; Date: 03/06/15

Office: SS 6470. OH: Wed 8:00-9:30am; Thu 4:15-5:45pm; or by appt.

**Office hours will not be as above during midterm week. See email.**

1. Jimmy wants to put an offer on a house. The house is worth \$200000 to him. He knows the market pretty well and knows that he is guaranteed to win if he submits an offer of \$150000 or above. If he bids  $b \in [0, 150000]$ , he will win with probability  $b/150000$ . If he wins, he pays his bid. Assume he has no outside wealth, so that his assets,  $x$ , are worth  $200000 - b$  if he wins and zero if he loses.

- (a) Suppose Jimmy is an expected utility maximizer with  $u(x) = \sqrt{x}$ . Is Jimmy risk-averse, risk-loving or risk-neutral? What is his optimal bid?

*Solution:* Jimmy is risk-averse because  $u(x) = \sqrt{x}$  is concave. He solves the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_b P(\text{He wins}|b)u(200000 - b) + P(\text{He loses}|b)u(0) \\ &= \max_b \frac{b}{150000} u(200000 - b) \\ &= \max_b \frac{b}{150000} \sqrt{200000 - b} \end{aligned}$$

Now we take a first order condition. This derivative is a little hard, but we can do it with the product rule ( $d(f \cdot g) = df \cdot g + dg \cdot f$ ).

$$\frac{d\left(\frac{b}{150000} \sqrt{200000 - b}\right)}{db} = \frac{\sqrt{200000 - b}}{150000} - \frac{b}{150000} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{200000 - b}}$$

Setting equal to zero and rearranging:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{200000 - b}}{150000} - \frac{b}{150000} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{200000 - b}} &= 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{200000 - b}}{150000} &= \frac{b}{150000} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{200000 - b}} \\ 2(200000 - b) &= b \\ 400000 &= 3b \\ b &= 400000/3 \approx 133333 \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Suppose Jimmy is an expected utility maximizer with  $u(x) = x^2$ . Is Jimmy risk-neutral, risk-loving or risk-neutral? What is his optimal bid? Explain why the answer here is lower than the answer in (a).

*Solution:* He is risk-loving because  $u(x) = x^2$  is convex. He solves:

$$\max_b \frac{b}{150000} (200000 - b)^2$$

Taking the derivative (again with the product rule):

$$\frac{d\left(\frac{b}{150000} (200000 - b)^2\right)}{db} = \frac{(200000 - b)^2}{150000} - \frac{2b(200000 - b)}{150000}$$

Setting it equal to zero gives us:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(200000 - b)^2}{150000} - \frac{2b(200000 - b)}{150000} &= 0 \\ \frac{(200000 - b)^2}{150000} &= \frac{2b(200000 - b)}{150000} \\ 200000 - b &= 2b \\ b &= \frac{200000}{3} \approx 66667 \end{aligned}$$

The answer here is lower because a lower bid in a sense represents a bigger gamble. That is, it lowers the probability you win but increases the payoffs in the event in which you win. Since Jimmy in (b) is risk-loving, he prefers a larger gamble than that undertaken by Jimmy in (a).

- (c) Now suppose that Jimmy is a prospective utility maximizer. His value for gains and losses  $x$  is  $v(x) = \sqrt{x}$  if  $x > 0$  and  $v(x) = -\sqrt{-x}$  if  $x < 0$ . Suppose also that he has a linear probability-weighting function, i.e.  $\Pi(p) = p$ . If his reference point is that he purchases the house for \$150,000, then what is his optimal bid.

*Solution:* If he were to purchase the house for \$150,000, then he would get a utility of \$50,000, so this is the reference point in terms of utility. Now, if he wins the house for his bid  $b$ , he will gain  $150000 - b$  relative to his reference point. If he loses, however, he will lose 50000 relative to his reference point. Therefore, he solves the following problem.

$$\max_b \frac{b}{150000} \sqrt{150000 - b} - \left(1 - \frac{b}{150000}\right) \sqrt{50000}$$

Taking the derivative with respect to  $b$  and setting it equal to zero gives us

$$\frac{\sqrt{150000 - b}}{150000} + \frac{1}{300\sqrt{5}} = \frac{b}{300000\sqrt{150000 - b}}$$

Solving this for  $b$  gives us:

$$b = \frac{100000}{9} (8 + \sqrt{10}) \approx \$124025$$

- (d) What about if his reference point is that he purchases the house for \$0?

*Solution:* Now, given his reference point is that he buys it for zero, his reference utility is 200000. Therefore, if he wins with bid  $b$ , he will lose  $b$  utils relative to his reference point. If he loses, then he will lose 200000 utils relative to his reference. Therefore he solves the following problem:

$$\max_b -\frac{b}{150000} \sqrt{b} - \left(1 - \frac{b}{150000}\right) \sqrt{200000}$$

Taking the derivative with respect to  $b$  and setting equal to zero gives us

$$\frac{400\sqrt{5} - 3\sqrt{b}}{300000} = 0$$

Solving for  $b$  gives us

$$b = \frac{800000}{9} \approx \$88889$$

- (e) Continue to assume his reference point is that he purchases the house for \$0, but now assume that his probability weighting function is  $\pi(p) = p^2$ . Solve for his optimal bid. (Warning: Math gets awful - use WolframAlpha or skip it!)

*Solution:* He solves:

$$\max_b - \left( \frac{b}{150000} \right)^2 \sqrt{b} - \left( 1 - \frac{b}{150000} \right)^2 \sqrt{200000}$$

Setting the derivative equal to zero gives us

$$\frac{b^{\frac{3}{2}}}{9000000000} + \frac{\frac{b}{150000} - 1}{75\sqrt{5}} = 0$$

Solving for  $b$  gives something very ugly in exact form, but if we look at it numerically,

$$b \approx 83704$$

- (f) Now take the approach of Cumulative Prospective Utility and rewrite the maximization problem from (e) in this framework.

*Solution:* Now, the worst payoff, call it  $x_1$ , is a loss of 200000. The second-to-worst (and the best) payoff, call it  $x_2$  is a loss of  $b$ .  $P_1 = p_1 + p_2$  is the probability you get a payoff at least as good as  $x_1$  and  $P_2 = p_2$  is the probability you get a payoff at least as good as  $x_2$ . Then, we solve the problem

$$\begin{aligned} PU &= v(x_1) + \pi(P_2)(v(x_2) - v(x_1)) \\ &= -\sqrt{200000} + \left( \frac{b}{150000} \right)^2 \left( -\sqrt{b} - \left( -\sqrt{200000} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

So that's what we would maximize.

2. Remaining time to be spent going over PS2.